## **RPG-HATPRO Measurements**

## *1. Introduction*

The RPG-HATPRO humidity and temperature profiling passive microwave radiometer measures a variety of atmospheric quantities with high temporal and spatial resolution. Due to its two 7 channel filterbank receivers it offers a high speed parallel detection of all 14 channels. In contrast to other systems that utilize a sequential channel scanning e.g. with a synthesizer (the classical spectrum analyzer concept) the RPG-HATPRO is capable of performing fast LWP (Liquid Water Path) sampling with 1 second time resolution and outstanding noise performance of  $\langle 2 \text{ g/m}^2 \rangle$  RMS while simultaneously measuring full troposphere (up to 10 km altitude) profiles of temperature and humidity.

In addition the instrument supports two different scanning modes to achieve a maximum accuracy and vertical resolution for temperature profiling in the full troposphere (< 10000 m, vertical resolution  $150 - 250$  m) and boundary layer (< 1000 m, vertical resolution 50 m). These two modes are referred to as zenith mode (observation only in zenith direction for full troposphere temperature and humidity profiling, LWP, IWV) and boundary layer mode (observation in 6 different elevation angles for boundary layer temperature profiling). In boundary layer mode the system scans the sky in elevation to increase the amount of acquired information by sampling all channels in different directions (down to 5° elevation angle). It has been shown that this method increases the vertical resolution and accuracy of temperature profiles in the atmospheric boundary layer while the zenith mode is best for profiling the whole troposphere with lower vertical resolution. A high vertical resolution in the boundary layer is essential in order to resolve temperature inversions which mainly occur in that layer.

## *2. Temperature Profiling*

## **2.1 Zenith Observation Mode**

In zenith observation mode the radiometer only measures in the vertical direction while scanning the water vapour and oxygen lines in Fig.1 continuously. Atmospheric quantities like LWP, IWV and absolute / relative humidity profiles are retrieved from the water vapour line shape and a window channel at 31.4 GHz. The oxygen line complex is only used for temperature profiling of the troposphere.

The RPG-HATPRO radiometer comprises 7 channels on the water vapour line / window and 7 channels on the oxygen line. The frequencies and channel bandwidths are listed in table 1:

f.[GHz]	22.24 ----	$\sim$ 23.04	23.84	$\cap \subset$ $\overline{A}$ .44	26.24 40.Z	$\sim$ $\angle 7.84$	$\sim$ $\sim$ 1.40	$\sim$ 1.20	5000 ----	53.86	94 ∸	- - 56.66	$-1$ .30	58.00
<b>b</b> [MHz]	230	230	230	230	230	230 ້	230	230	230	230	230	600	.000	2000

*Table 1: RPG-HATPRO channel centre frequencies and corresponding bandwidths.* 



*Fig.1: Frequency sets observed in zenith observation mode by various RPG instruments.* 

## **2.2 Boundary Layer Scanning Mode**

In boundary layer mode the radiometer scans the atmosphere in elevation to acquire more information about the lower atmospheric layer (<1000 m) as shown in Fig.2.



**Fig.2: Boundary layer scanning mode with different elevation angles.** 

For the retrieval of boundary layer temperature profiles only the upper four channels in table 1 are used which show the highest absorption below 1000 m. The variation of brightness temperature in a scan is typically in the order of 1 to 4 K. Thus a sensitive receiver and long integration times are required for the method to achieve the required accuracy. The RPG-HATPRO uses integration times of 20-60 seconds per angle (user selectable) with a total scan time of 2-6 minutes. During this time the zenith observation mode is disabled. A good compromise is a 3 minute scan with a repetition period of 10 to 20 minutes so that the zenith mode is active most of the observation time.



## **2.3 Comparison Between the Two Modes**

*Fig.3a: Zenith observation mode. In the lower 500 m layer the vertical structure is hardly resolved.* 



*Fig.3b: Boundary layer scanning mode. The vertical structure even in the lowest layer <100 m is clearly resolved.* 

Fig.3a/b show temperature profile maps of the lowest 1000 m layer measured in zenith mode (Fig.3a) and boundary layer scanning mode (Fig.3b) for a period of 4 days. Only in the left part of the time chart (the first 1.7 days of the recording period) the boundary layer scanning mode observations do not significantly differ from the zenith mode observations. During that period no inversions occurred (see scan A in Fig.4) but after that the boundary layer cooled down (scans B and C in Fig.4) and the first elevated inversions occur (scan C). During the next days inversions are formed between midnight and the morning hours (scans E, H) and dissolved until noon time (scans F, I) by strong solar radiation.



*Fig.4: Boundary layer scan temperature profile map of the lowest 2000 m layer. Examples of different scans are given below. The time of the diagram is measured in UTC. 00:00:00 corresponds to midnight.* 





# **2.3.1 Comparison with Radiosonde Data**

Fig.5 is an example for a zenith mode observation of the full troposphere up to 10 km during the morning hours (6:00). The radiosonde (in red) was launched about 20 km away from the radiometer site. The RPG-HATPRO profile (in blue) matches well above 1 km altitude but deviates significantly in the lowest 500 m layer. Fig.6 shows the comparison between the radisonde profile and the radiometer data when operated in boundary layer mode in the lowest 2000 m layer. The ground inversion is resolved much better than in zenith mode.



*Fig.5: Full troposphere (zenith) scan. Inversion below 1 km is not well resolved (about 1 K)* 



*Fig.6: Radiosonde (red) and boundary layer scan (blue) profiles at the same time as above. A strong ground inversion of 4K is resolved.*

Fig.7 is a boundary layer scan 6 hours later compared to the radiosonde profile. The inversion has disappeared.



*Fig.7: Boundary layer scan around 12:00 UTC.* 

## **2.4 Development and decay of an inversion**

In the time series below the development of an inversion and its decay is monitored (in boundary layer mode). The data was recorded in the night 27./28.6.2005:







## **2.5 Comparison with Meteorological Tower Observations**

**1) LAUNCH campaign in Lindenberg / Germany (September / October 2005) (Courtesy of Prof. Dr. S. Crewell, University of Munich)** 

The RPG-HATPRO was located about 40 m from a 99 m met. tower of the DWD (Germany Weather Service).





*Fig.8a: Comparison of time series of tower temp. sensor (black) and HATPRO measurement (red) at 10 m and 100 m. Below is shown the gradient (difference) indicating that all low level inversions were captured by the instrument.* 





*Fig.8c: Scatter plots of the comparison.* 

We also compared the HATPRO temperature profiles for both measurement modes with radiosonde data measured by a Vaisala RS92 sondes launched about 4 km from the radiometer site (4 times a day). Fig.9/10 show the statistical analysis of the data measured in BL mode and Z mode.



*Fig.9: RMS and bias of the BLM temperature observations. Due to the 4 km distance between radio sounding and radiometer the details of the boundary layer are not necessarily the same. The BLM data is most accurate for altitudes < 1200 m.* 



*Fig.10: RMS and bias of the ZM temperature observations..* 

### **2) KNMI Met. Tower Observations at Cabauw / Netherlands (Courtesy of H.Baltink, KNMI Netherlands)**

The Dutch Weather Service operates a 200 m meteorological tower in Cabauw / Netherlands. Fig.13 shows a one month temperature comparison of the 200 m sensor with HATPRO BLM measurements.



**Fig.11: Comparison of retrieved HATPRO temperature at 200 m (green) with 200 m temperature sensor reading of met. tower (black) in Cabauw (KNMI) in August 2006. Total number of samples: 1232, no-rain: 836 samples (RMS: 0.36 K, bias: -0.04 K); 396** 

**rain samples (RMS: 0.45 K, bias: -0.13 K). Rain samples are indicates as blue dots, rain rates are between 1 mm/h (drizzle) and 25 mm/h. BLM data remains accurate.** 



### **2.6 Extreme Inversions**

*Fig.12: BLM map of one week period. Very strong inversions occurred in the second half of the period indicated by the black lines (Lindenberg, October 2005, courtesy of Univ.of Munich).* 



*Fig.13: Inversion comparisons between radio sounding (red) and HATPRO BL mode observations (blue) for the four cases in fig.10 indicated by the black lines.* 



*Fig.14a: Examples of comparisons between radio sounding (red) and HATPRO Z mode observations (blue). The boundary layer details are not always accurately resolved.* 



*Fig.14b: The Z mode tends to smear out (average) the details of the boundary layer.* 



**Fig.15: Strong solar heating (A) at day time and radiation cooling over night (B) (inversions!) during the AMMA campaign, January 2006, Benin / West Africa.**

## *3. Humidity Profiling*

There is only a zenith mode for humidity measurements because at the water vapour line channel frequencies around 22 GHz the atmosphere is transparent. No saturation of the brightness temperatures occurs even at very low elevation angles like for the oxygen line center. Consequently an elevation scanning mode will not give significantly more information than the zenith mode. Nevertheless more accurate relative humidity profiles in the boundary layer can be generated by combining absolute humidity profiles with boundary layer temperature profiles and computing the relative humidity from these two profile types.

The microwave signals the radiometer observes are directly proportional to the absolute humidity above the instrument with offsets due to liquid water introduced by clouds. Consequently, for retrieving humidity by observations of the water vapour line, absolute humidity profiles (humidity measured in  $g/m<sup>3</sup>$ ) are the most 'natural' type of humidity profiles.

Relative humidity profiles can be generated in two ways:

- 1) Using directly a retrieval for relative humidity without taking into account any temperature profile information.
- 2) Using a retrieval for absolute humidity and combine this information with temperature profiles generated by zenith and boundary layer modes.

Both methods suffer from severe inaccuracies at high altitude (>5000 m) where the absolute humidity is so low (due to low temperatures) that the microwave detection of this contribution becomes impossible. But method 2 has advantages over method 1 in the boundary layer because the higher accuracy and resolution of the boundary layer temperature profiles can be exploited for relative humidity profiling.

## **3.1 Examples of Absolute and Relative Humidity Profiles**

# **Display Absolute Humidity Profiles End Recording:** ry : 201061200<br>Sic 17154142  $\ensuremath{\text{\textbf{Del}}}$  | Eedr.  $\overline{\text{on}}$ Bel. Hum. | Eilter | 011  $\epsilon$ Load Profile **FILTEREDJIPS** Zoom <u>O</u>ut | Print | Time Se **Import RS Data** Select Profile

## **3.1.1 RPG-HATPRO Measurements**

*Fig.16: Absolute humidity profile map for a 5 day period.* 



*Fig.17: Sample profile taken from the map in Fig.16 at 12:00 UTC (noon time). In red is the data of a radiosonde for comparison (Larkhill, UK).* 



*Fig. 18: Absolute humidity time series at 116 m altitude.* 



*Fig.19: Relative humidity map for the full troposphere (up to 10 km) computed from absolute humidity profiles and temperature profiles both measured in zenith mode.*



*Fig.20: Comparison of relative humidity profile with radiosonde data. As mentioned above the microwave information does not allow for retrieving any details of humidity profiles above 5-6 km. A small error in absolute humidity at low temperatures produces a big error in relative humidity.* 



*Fig.21: The lowest 2000 m layer map of Fig.11 computed from absolute humidity profiles and temperature profiles both measured in zenith mode.* 



*Fig.22: Relative humidity map for boundary layer (up to 2 km) computed from absolute humidity profiles and temperature profiles measured in boundary layer mode. A comparison with Fig.13 clearly shows that more details are resolved in the <500 m range. The relative humidity is modulated by the temperature inversions.* 

Below is shown a sequence of boundary layer humidity profile samples taken from Fig.21 (left profiles, Z-Mode (zenith mode)) and from Fig.22 (right profiles, BL-Mode (boundary layer mode)): Without temperature inversion the humidity profiles look almost the same.







*Fig:23: Relative humidity time series at low altitude measured in Z-mode and BL-mode. The BL-mode humidity maxima over night between 22:00 and 7:00 (when inversions are generated) are more pronounced than in Z-mode.* 



*leads to RMS errors of 1 g/m^3.* 



*Fig:25: Due to the small number of degrees of freedom in the 22.4 GHz water vapour line the retrieval (dotted line) can only average through the real profiles but the integrated water vapour (IWV) measurement is quite accurate.* 

**AMMA campaign, Benin / West Africa (Jan. 2006 to Jan. 2007)** 



*Fig:26: Example of a transition from wet to dry period by sea breeze effect in Benin / West Africa with development of absolute humidity profiles and integrated water vapour.* 

 **Morioka campaign, Japan (Oct. 2006 to Jan. 2007)** 



*Fig.27: One month of absolute humidity data from Morioka / Japan. At rain rates >10 mm/h the profile is distorted while at rates < 5mm/h the profile accuracy is reduced by only 20%.* 



*Fig.28: Absolute humidity profile map of the lowest 4000 m over 6 days.* 



*Fig.29: Absolute humidity time series @ 300 m level from period in Fig.28.* 

# **3.1.2 RPG-LHATPRO Humidity Profiling**

The same profiling observation modes as used for temperature profiles (BL and zenith) can be applied to humidity profiling with the 183 GHz line. Also the oxygen line channels are included in the humidity retrieval which is essential for resolving low altitude humidity inversions and relative humidity profiles. First results of radiative transfer calculations indicate the following vertical resolution and accuracies:

- Full troposphere humidity profiles (0-10000 m): 500 m (<4000 m altitude), 1000 m above, profile accuracy:  $+/- 0.02$  g/m<sup> $\land$ </sup>3 RMS (0-1000 m),  $+/- 0.04$  g/m $\land$ 3 RMS  $(>2000 \text{ m})$
- Boundary layer humidity profiles (0-2000 m), 100 m vertical resolution, profile accuracy: +/- 0.03 g/m^3 K RMS (<2000 m).



*Fig.3.1.2.1: Retrieval accuracy for humidity profiling with the 22 GHz and 183 GHz water vapour line.* 

For the IWV retrieval the following accuracies are expected at very low humidity levels like at DOME C (Antarctica):

### **IWV at Dome C**

Natural variability (Std.Dev.): 0.189 kg/(m^2)

retrieval with 183.31 GHz line: RMS=0.008, Correlation=0.999

LWP accuracy is about 10-20 g/m<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>2 with RMS noise of 5 g/m<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>2.

### **Retrieval Performance at Dome C / Antarctica**

Based on the radiosonde data from the Concordia station (290 soundings from 2006), we calculated the microwave brightness temperatures (BT) for down-welling radiation at several elevation angles. By adding instrument noise (0.5 Kelvin RMS) we obtained a synthetic radiometer measurement. A multi-variate quadratic (sometimes only linear) regression established the inversion of forward-calculated brightness temperatures to atmospheric parameters.

Only 80% of the data (randomly chosen) were used for the regression, the rest were used for algorithm testing. The following algorithm performance estimates are based on the application of the regression methods on the unused 20% of the data. All results are preliminary. At a later stage, we will incorporate more data, maybe from Scott base or other similar locations.

### **Integrated water vapour**

The total column water vapour is very low at Dome C. The brightness temperatures of the 22.235 GHz water vapour line are much too small for water vapour retrievals in low pressure and at very cold climates. We compare the retrieval performance of a sounder with 6 doubleside-band channels around 183 GHz with the performance of a standard radiometer for midlatitudes with 7 channels between 22.24 GHz and 31.4 GHz.

The 22 GHz system has a low information content in the BT, thus the retrieved IWV is basically the all-year mean value plus some scatter around it. For the IWV retrieval the following accuracies are expected: Natural variability (Std.Dev.): 0.189 kg/(m^2)

retrieval with 22.235 GHz line, RMS=0.140, Cor=0.583 retrieval with 183.31 GHz line, RMS=0.008, Cor=0.999



*Fig.C3.1.2.2: IWV scatter plot.* 

**Humidity profiles with 183 GHz WVL:** 



*Fig.3.1.2.3: Humidity profiling retrieval accuracy.* 



*Fig.3.1.2.4: Humidity profile comparison from Pic du Midi (French Pyrenees), courtesy of CNRS, Laboratoire d'Aerologie, Observatoire Midi-Pyrenees .* 



*Fig.C3.1.2.5: Example of humidity profile chart from Pic du Midi (French Pyrenees), courtesy of CNRS, Laboratoire d'Aerologie, Observatoire Midi-Pyrenees .* 

## *4. LWP and IWV Measurements*

The RPG-HATPRO is capable of measuring liquid water path (LWP) with a 1 second temporal resolution due to its parallel receiver architecture. The variability of clouds can be



analyzed in detail also because of the relatively narrow beam width of 4° HPBW (half power beam width) for the water vapour channels.

*Fig.30: High temporal resolution (1 second sampling) LWP time series. The measurement noise is very low*  $\left\langle \langle 2 \frac{g}{m^2} RMS \rangle \right\rangle$ *.* 



*Fig.31: IWV time series. Absolute accuracy is*  $+/- 0.3$  *kg/m<sup>2</sup> with an RMS noise of*  $< 0.05$ *kg/ m2 .* 

The LWP measurement noise is very low  $\left($  < 2 g/m<sup>2</sup> RMS, see Fig.30), thus even thin clouds can be resolved.

For integrated water vapour measurements (see Fig.31) the temporal resolution is not important. A sample rate of 1/minute is sufficient to monitor all IWV details. IWV is the most accurately retrieved atmospheric parameter with an absolute accuracy of  $+/- 0.3$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> and an RMS noise of  $< 0.05$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>.



*Fig.32: IWV time series over one month (KNMI, May 2006). 140 radio sondings (26. April to 4. July, Cabauw, KNMI). Radiosonds: Vaisala RS-92. No-Rain RMS: 0.43 kg/m^2, Bias: 0.05 kg/m^2* 



### *5. Stability Indices*

### *Fig.33: When TTI, KI and LI are approaching their critical limits, thunderstorms are likely to occur. This can be seen from the rain flag bar (blue indicates 'rain', red means 'no rain').*

The RPG-HATPRO is delivered with retrievals for the most common atmospheric stability indices like:

- The Lifted Index (LI) shows the stability of an air parcel. It is computed by lifting the parcel of air pseudo-adiabatically to a 500 mb level, then comparing the temperature of the air parcel to that of the environmental air temperature. The temperature of the air parcel may be much higher than the surrounding air (e.g. due to condensation), causing it to be unstable in a sense that it wants to be displaced vertically. We look for negative numbers with this index. The more negative the number, the more unstable the air is and the more potential there is for a stronger thunderstorm. Values of zero or below are good indicator of general thunderstorms. Severe thunderstorms are possible when the values reach -4 or so.
- The Total Totals Index (TTI) combines the effect of the atmospheric lapse rate and low level moisture. It is computed by using the Cross Totals Index ( $CT = Td850 - Td850$ T500) and the Vertical Totals Index ( $VT = T850 - T500$ ). An index of 50 is a good starting point for thunderstorms.
- The K Index (KI) represents the thunderstorm potential as a function of vertical temperature lapse rate at 850 mb temperature and 500 mb temperature, low level moisture content at 850 mb dewpoint and the depth of the moist layer at 700 mb dewpoint (George, 1960). KI increases with decreasing static stability between 850 and 500 mb, increasing moisture at 850 mb and increasing relative humidity at 700 mb. KI can be used as an indicator of convection but not as a discriminator of severe versus non-severe convection. Values of  $KI > 20$  generally represent a convective environment capable of producing scattered thunderstorms activity, while  $KI > 30$ represents an atmospheric potential for numerous thunderstorms to occur (Haklander and Van Delden, 2003).

## *6. Scanning*

### **Scanning of IWV, LWP, water vapour field, temperature, and cloud coverage.**

- o In order to obtain sky scans, the radiometer needs (a) steerability, and (b) rapid integration (10 degree steps in azimuth and 9 degree steps in zenith result in 324 observations for one sky map).
- o The RPG-HATPRO instrument has zenith scanning capabilities as a basic feature. The azimuth positioner is adding the second scan direction. The control of both angles for scanning is fully supported by the software.
- o The RPG-HATPRO instrument can switch to a rapid scan mode with 0.4 s integration time. Adding some time for the movement of scan mirror (zenith) and positioning table (azimuth), one observation point can be acquired within 1 second, resulting in roughly 5 minutes for one hemispheric scan. Longer integration times would render the scanning capabilities for sky maps nearly useless, because the scan needs to be completed faster than the sky is changing it's pattern.

From the scanned data the software is automatically retrieving the directional dependent values for IWV, LWP, profile of absolute humidity, temperature profile, and cloud coverage. The software supports azimuth-zenith plotting.



*Figure 34: A HATPRO instrument with azimuth positioner during full sky scan operation at the US ARM mobile facility.*



o *Figure 35a: Normalized IWV map. The HATPRO software is fully supporting the sky scanning capabilities of the azimuth-scanned radiometer. Courtesy of U. Löhnert, Univ. of Cologne / Germany.* 



*Figure 35b: Normalized IWV map. Humidity variations of up to 20% over the sky have been measured. Courtesy of U. Löhnert, Univ. of Cologne / Germany.* 



*Figure 36: Normalized LWP map showing the cloud coverage and cloud thickness variations. Courtesy of U. Löhnert, Univ. of Cologne / Germany.* 



*Fig.37: Azimuth scan under clear sky conditions. Displayed are the anomalies of IWV, IR radiometer temperature, LWP and optical camera (fish eye view). IR and IWV match nicely. The IWV variation is about 13% in this plot. Scanning azimuth pitch angle is 5°. A full scan takes 40 seconds. Courtesy of S. Kneifel, Univ. of Cologne and LMU Munich.* 







elevation=30 deg, Time: 183330

IWV-anomaly [kgm<sup>-2</sup>], mean= 47.46 kgm<sup>-2</sup> (dotted circle) LWP-anomaly  $\lceil q m^{-2} \rceil$ , mean= -36.87  $q m^{-2}$  (dotted circle) Correl. LWP<->IR: 0.827 Correl. IWV<->IR: 0.589  $-40$ 40  $-1.5$  $-0.5$  $1.5$ 20 O. o.s

*Fig.38: Under cloudy conditions, the IR radiometer signal is partially saturated while the***<br>Fig.38: Under cloudy conditions, the IR radiometer signal is partially saturated while the** *microwave IWV is still reflecting the water vapour distribution. The IR gets saturated where the LWP level indicates clouds. Courtesy of S. Kneifel, Univ. of Cologne and LMU Munich.* 

## *7. Acknowledgement*

Data Sources:

1. CSIP (Convective Storms Initiation Project). The RPG-HATPRO instrument was run by the University of Salford who is a member of UFAM (Universities Facilities for Atmospheric Measurements).

- 2. LAUNCH campaign, University of Munich, Germany
- 3. AMMA campaign, University of Bonn Germany
- 4. Morioka / Japan, Japan Meteorological Agency
- 5. KMNI, Dutch Weather Service, Cabauw / Netherlands
- 6. CNRS, Laboratoire d'Aerologie, Observatoire Midi-Pyrenees